Time for robots to get real

by Helen Greiner

From robotic slug-killers to humanoid robots dancing or dealing cards, there's a lot of media buzz around robots. But, the roboticists behind such <u>ventures</u> need a serious reality check. The importance of focusing on practicality struck us during iRobot's <u>formative</u> years in the 1990s, when we were <u>engineering</u> robots as toys, oil-well <u>surveyors</u>, and commercial cleaners for industry-leading firms. Why? Companies would only pay good money for practical designs that performed <u>reliably</u>.

It's Ok for robots to walk, even jump, but the direction will be dictated by practicality

A good example is the eerily humanoid robot, called Atlas, "who" is 4.9 feet (1.5 meters) tall and weighs 165 pounds (75 kilograms), and uses Lidar and stereovision to **navigate** in its **surroundings**, according to Boston Dynamics, which makes the robot. Atlas is **designed** to be able to **take on emergency** situations where human life would normally be put at risk, such as going into buildings that have crumbled after an earthquake, or **dealing with** patients who have deadly, highly i**nfectious** diseases, according to the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) where "he" is being developed.

In the video, the newest <u>version</u> of the humanoid does a kind of jump training called plyometrics, leaping between <u>raised</u> platforms, doing a 180-degree turn in the air on raised platforms and <u>performing</u> a backflip off a platform. Though he may not give American gymnast Simone Biles a run for her money right now, the robot does manage to stick the landing. Other videos show the robot stacking boxes on a shelf, ambling on a walk in the snow with a human "friend" and chasing after, and picking up, a box that's deliberately <u>moved out of</u> its <u>reach</u>. According to the Boston Dynamics website, Atlas can carry payloads up to 24 lbs. (11 kg). Atlas has other human-like abilities, such as a sense of <u>balance</u>, so <u>resists</u> toppling when pushed, and can get back up after a fierce shove.

Another example of practical, realistic application has actually seen very well **<u>publicised</u>** action when iRobot's military robots, originally **<u>deployed</u>** in Afghanistan to defuse improvised explosive devices, **<u>proved</u>** very useful to the human teams dealing with the nuclear emergency at the Fukushima Daiichi power plant in Japan. As a result, many in Japan have **<u>questioned</u>** the nation's research focus on singing, running, and dancing humanoid robots. It looks like change is afoot there and this is to be welcomed.

Human-like "Terminators" are fine for Hollywood, but we need to keep our feet on the ground

As a founder of iRobot Corporation, based in Bedford, Massachusetts, and CEO of robotics start-up CyPhy Works, it's clear to me that merely engineering "cool" human-like robots does little to advance the field. If robotics is to succeed like computing, what matters is making practical robots that do jobs well and **affordably** - factors that tend to get lost as people fascinate over the latest autonomous party pieces. In my view, attempting to duplicate humans robotically, outside of some specialist applications, is from the very start a wrong-headed **approach**. We already have about 7 billion humans on the planet and we are really good at what we do. To sell humanoid robots they would have to be better than people – and that is just not realistic yet. Roboticists who don't focus on **practicality** and cost are kidding themselves. Simply put, most people don't want humanoid, sci-fi movie robots in their homes. Before iRobot introduced the Roomba vacuuming robot in 2002, focus groups imagined it would look like the Terminator pushing a vacuum cleaner – and told us they would not accept such machines in their homes. But when we showed them that Roomba was a small, unthreatening box, reminiscent of bathroom scales, they loved it. Software standardisation, around the Robot Operating System and Linux, for instance, will help developers focus on the practical. This is a **tremendous** move because engineers, particularly in research universities, won't have to start coding from the ground up to build their own robots. Instead, their **challenge** will be to build software **packages** small enough to run on affordable processors, and robots that avoid the common embarrassment of being wimpy and underpowered with **limited** usage time. By focusing on bringing robots to market, **innovators** will be able to put the industry firmly on the commercially viable, world-changing track it deserves. Drop the gimmicks, focus on practical problem-solving, and robotics can change the world.